

# Integrality of the binomial coefficient in the second quantization

Boris A. Kupershmidt

The University of Tennessee Space Institute, Tullahoma, TN 37388, USA  
 E-mail: bkupersh@utsi.edu

Like in the first (standard) quantization, binomial coefficients turn out to be quantum integers.

---

## 1. Introduction

In the 1<sup>st</sup> (usual) quantization,

$$x \in \mathbb{C} \rightarrow [x]_q = \frac{1 - q^x}{1 - q}, \quad q = e^h, \quad h \in \mathbb{C}, \quad q^x = e^{hx},$$

the binomial coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q &= \frac{[m] \dots [m - k + 1]}{[1] \dots [k]}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} m \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_q &= 1, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}, \end{aligned}$$

turn out to be, instead of rational functions of  $q$ , *polynomials* in  $q$ . This follows at once from the formula

$$\begin{bmatrix} m + 1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k - 1 \end{bmatrix}_q + \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q q^k \quad (1.1)$$

because

$$[a]_q + q^a [b]_q = [a + b]_q, \quad \forall a, b. \quad (1.1a)$$

The second quantization (symmetric under interchange  $q \leftrightarrow q^{-1}$ ), is:

$$x \rightarrow [x]_q^\sim = \frac{q^x - q^{-x}}{q - q^{-1}},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} [-x]_q^\sim &= -[x]_q^\sim, \\ [n]_q^\sim &= q^{n-1} + q^{n-3} + \dots + q^{-(n-1)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}, \\ [0]_q^\sim &= 0, \quad [1]_q^\sim = 1. \end{aligned}$$

The 2<sup>nd</sup> quantization and the 1<sup>st</sup> quantization are related, which may explain why the 2<sup>nd</sup> quantization has been rarely used so far:

$$\begin{aligned}
[x]_q^\sim &= \frac{q^x - q^{-x}}{q - q^{-1}} = \frac{q^{-x}(q^{2x} - 1)}{q^{-1}(q^2 - 1)} = q^{1-x}[x]_{q^2} \\
&\Rightarrow [x]_q = q^{\frac{x-1}{2}} [x]_{q^{1/2}}^\sim
\end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

The *integers* in the 1<sup>st</sup> quantization, are  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combinations of

$$[n]_{q^i} = 1 + q^i + \dots + q^{i(n-1)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and  $[-n]_{q^i} = q^i [n]_{q^i}$ . Since

$$-[-1]_{q^{-i}} = q^i, \tag{1.3}$$

the integers in the 1<sup>st</sup> quantization are of the form

$$\left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i q^i \mid a_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ finite sums} \right\}$$

These form a *ring*,  $\mathcal{O}_1$ , because of (1.3).

In the 2<sup>nd</sup> quantization, the integers are  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combinations of  $\{[n]_{q^i}^\sim, n, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  ( $i \in \mathbb{Q}$  leads to the isomorphic rings.) The  $q \leftrightarrow q^{-1}$  - invariance guarantees that the integers are of the form:

$$\left\{ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i q^i \mid a_{-i} = a_i, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}^*; \text{ finite sums} \right\}.$$

It is immediate that the integers  $\mathcal{O}_2$  also form a *ring*, and, in fact,

$$\mathcal{O}_2 = \left\{ \sum a_i q^i \mid a_{-i} = a_i, \quad \forall i; \text{ finite sums} \right\} = \mathcal{O}_1^{\text{Inv}} \subset \mathcal{O}_1$$

The question is: define the binomial coefficients in the 2<sup>nd</sup> quantization naturally as

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q^\sim = \frac{[m]_q^\sim \dots [m - k + 1]_q^\sim}{[1]_q^\sim \dots [k]_q^\sim}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Will the integers of  $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q^\sim$  be in  $\mathcal{O}_2$ ? The answer is not obvious, and is in fact, very hard to establish directly. We show that this fact is true in what follows, by going outside  $\mathcal{O}_2$ , into  $\mathcal{O}_1$ .

By the way, in the 2<sup>nd</sup> quantization, an analog of formula (1.1a) is not possible. Instead, we have:

$$[a]_q^\sim + [b]_q^\sim = [2]_{q^{(b-a)/2}}^\sim \left[ \frac{a+b}{2} \right]_q^\sim$$

so that

$$[z]_q^\sim + [z+1]_q^\sim = [2z+1]_{q^{1/2}}^\sim,$$

$$[z+2]_q^\sim - [z]_q^\sim = [2]_{q^{z+1}}^\sim,$$

and the symmetry property:

$$\left[ \frac{a+b}{a} \right]_q^\sim = \left[ \frac{a+b}{b} \right]_q^\sim \quad a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$$

## 2. The Proof

Denote

$$[k]_q^\sim! = [k]!_q^\sim = [1]_q^\sim \dots [k]_q^\sim, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, \quad [0]_q^\sim! = 1 \quad (2.1)$$

Recall that

$$[k]!_q = [k]_q! = [1]_q \dots [k]_q \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \quad (2.2)$$

**Lemma 2.3.** We have:

$$[k]_q^\sim! = q^{-\binom{k}{2}} [k]_{q^2}! \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}. \quad (2.4)$$

**Proof.** By formula (1.2),

$$\frac{[k]_q^\sim!}{[k]!_{q^2}} = \prod_{i=1}^k q^{1-i} = q^k q^{-k(k+1)/2} = q^{-\binom{k}{2}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \quad \blacksquare \quad (2.5)$$

**Corollary 2.6.**

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q^\sim = \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} q^{k(m+1)}, \quad k, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}.$$

**Proof.** For  $k, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , by (2.5),

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q^\sim = \frac{[m]_q^\sim!}{[k]_q^\sim! [m-k]_q^\sim!} \Rightarrow \frac{\begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}}{\begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}} = \frac{q^{-\binom{m}{2}} q^{-\binom{m-k}{2}}}{q^{-\binom{k}{2}}} q$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{k}{2} + \binom{m-k}{2} - \binom{m}{2} &= \frac{k^2 - k}{2} + \frac{m^2 - m(2k+1) + k^2 + k}{2} - \frac{m(m-1)}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (2k^2 - 2mk) = -k(m-k) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^2}$  is an integer in  $\mathcal{O}_1$ , so is  $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q^\sim$ . But the latter is invariant under  $q \rightleftharpoons q^{-1}$ . So, it belongs to  $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{Inv}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_2$ . Thus,  $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q^\sim$  is an integer in  $\mathcal{O}_2$ . This has been proven for positive  $m$ ; the proof is entirely similar for negative  $m$ . Or else,  $\binom{-m}{k} = (-1)^k \binom{m+k-1}{k}$ .

**Remark 2.7.** There does not seem to exist in  $\mathcal{O}_2$  a relation of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q^\sim = a \begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q^\sim + b \begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_q^\sim, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{O}_2$$

which is why a direct proof of the integrality of  $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q^\sim$  is so difficult.

**Remark 2.8.** Curiously,

$$(-1)^k = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q^{\sim} = \binom{-1}{k} \neq \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

**Remark 2.9.** It is easy to see that in  $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ ,

$$\mathcal{O}_1 = \mathcal{O}_2 \oplus (q - q^{-1})\mathcal{O}_2.$$